

# Exploiting Sentinel 3 Data for Estimating GPP Across Europe Using the Quantum Yield (QY) Model: An Approach from the Sen4GPP Project



Booker Ogutu, Jadu Dash, Harry Morris, Subhajit Bandopadhyay University of Southampton, UK





#### Sen4GPP Project

- Accurate estimation of gross primary productivity (GPP) is <u>important in understanding the</u> <u>global carbon cycle and its response to environmental change</u>
- □ The <u>Sen4GPP Project</u> aims to exploit the complementary information provided by the Sentinel missions (*Sentinel-2, Sentinel-3 and Sentinel-5P*), and other *EO* and *in-situ* data to improve quantification of terrestrial ecosystems GPP at multiple spatial and temporal resolutions







#### <u>Light Use Efficiency (LUE) Approach – The Quantum Yield Model</u> (*Based on the SCARF Model; Ogutu et al, 2013*)

## $\Box QY_GPP = PAR * FAPAR_{chl} * [PC_3\alpha_3fD_3\Psi_e + (1-PC_3)\alpha_4fD_4]$

- □ **FAPAR**<sub>chl</sub> : Fraction of photosynthetic active radiation absorbed by green/chlorophyll in the canopy derived from inversion of flux data and up-scaled using S2/3 Chlorophyll Index (S2/OTCI)
- $\Box$   $PC_3$  : Percentage of C\_3 plants,  $\mathbf{1}\text{-PC}_3$  represents the percentage of C\_4 plants in a pixel
- $\Box \alpha_3$  and  $\alpha_4$ : Quantum yields for  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  plants respectively,
- $\Box \Psi_e$ : Influence of temperature and leaf  $CO_2$  concentration on the maximum quantum yield of C<sub>3</sub> plants
- $\Box$   $fD_3$  and  $fD_4$ : Influence of Vapour Pressure Deficit on C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> photosynthesis respectively







## Deriving FAPAR<sub>chl</sub> from Inversion of Flux Tower data(Chiwara et al., 2018; Ogutu and Dash, 2013, Hanan et al., 2002)

□ From Previous Equation:

 $FAPAR_{chl} = \frac{1}{\left[PC_{3}\alpha_{3}f_{D3}\Psi_{e} + (1 - PC_{3})\alpha_{4}f_{D4}\right]}$ 

Where:

 $\mathcal{E}$  = Slope of *in-situ* GPP vs PAR(from flux tower data) (ecosystem LUE)

- $\Box$   $f_D$  = Influence of VPD on photosynthesis
- $\square$   $\alpha_3$  and  $\alpha_4$  = Quantum yield terms for C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> respectively
- $\Box$   $\Psi_{e}$  = Influence of Temperature and Leaf CO<sub>2</sub> concentration on photosynthesis in C<sub>3</sub> plants

#### **<u>FAPAR</u>**<sub>chl</sub> derived at **30% of sites**



To up-scale - Related to **S3-OLCI Terrestrial Chlorophyll Index-OTCI** at these sites to generate PFT specific and 'Global' Equation

Tests to optimise the relationship(varying max PAR value, varying quantum yield terms, compositing window, pixel size/grid etc.)





## <u>Relationship between FAPAR<sub>chl</sub> and S3- OTCI ('Global')</u>









## **Implementation and validation of the QY Model**





FAPAR<sub>chl</sub> = 0.834OTCI + 0.053 (Global relationship-from Previous Slide)





#### Sample of Site Level Comparisons (QY Model output vs. Flux Tower GPP)





#### Sample of Site Level Comparisons (QY Model output vs. Flux Tower GPP)





#### PFT Level Comparisons (QY Model output vs. Flux Tower GPP)





#### All Sites Comparison (QY Model output vs. Flux Tower GPP)

70% validation sites









## **Conclusion and Future Sen4GPP work at UoS**

- Overall, using Sentinel data in the QY-model results in good performance in various PFTs
- **Expand model testing to AmeriFlux/other sites**
- Sensitivity of QY model to model input variables, uncertainty characterisation, source of negative bias
- □ Implementation of the QY-model using S-2 data-heterogeneity
- Compare the QY-modelled GPP product with existing GPP products (MOD17 GPP, Terra-P GPP, Dry Matter Productivity products).
- Generation of Experimental Data and scientific application





# Thank you







# Extra Slides



# <u>Challenges</u>

- □ Availability of C3/C4 maps
- □ *In-situ* data scarcity in the tropics (for model training and validation)
- Analysis Ready Data (e.g. Sentinel-3 surface reflectance, gridding of S-3 data)
- □ Reliance on meteorological data (at coarse spatial resolution)







0.2

0.0

1.0

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

0.0

1.0

0.0

0.2

0.4

Normalized OTCI

0.6

0.8

1.0

TCHL

0.0

 $R^2 = 0.85$ 

n=95

0.2

y = 1.6368x - 0.13824

0.4

Normalized OTCI

CZ-Stn: ppfd\_filtered\_500\_GPP\_DT\_VUT\_REF\_0.08

0.6

0.8

1.0

0.2

0.0

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

0.0

0.0

0.2

0.4

Normalized OTCI

fCHL

0.0

 $1.0 \ R^2 = 0.85$ 

n=95

0.2

v = 1.16513x-0.09855

0.4

Normalized OTCI

CZ-Stn: ppfd filtered 1000 GPP DT VUT REF 0.08

0.6

0.8

1.0

0.2

0.0

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

0.0

0.0

0.2

0.4

Normalized OTCI

fCHL

0.0

 $1.0 \ R^2 = 0.82$ 

n=95

0.2

y = 0.85232x - 0.058

0.4

Normalized OTCI

CZ-Stn: ppfd\_GPP\_DT\_VUT\_REF\_0.08

0.6

0.6

0.8

0.8

1.0



0.8

1.0

0.6



**Quantum Yield Value** 





#### Mean vs maximum FAPAR<sub>chl</sub> for 8 day window







#### 1500m x 1500m or 500m x 500m OTCI





1 x 1



#### 8 day vs daily extractions of OTCI







#### 3 x 3 OTCl or OGVI



